

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,480	04/19/2004	Cassandre Michelle Fecht	DC4998CIP1	3304
7590 09/27/2007 Dow Coming Corporation		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Dept CO1232			GRAHAM, SHELLEY R	
P.O. Box 994 Midland, MI 48686-0994		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
			MANI DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/827,480	FECHT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shelley R. Graham	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
	-	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inimor. Note the attached Office	FACION OF IOTH F 1 O-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
•						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) 🔲 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, 13-14 (in part) and 11-12, drawn to a composition comprising at least one hydrocarbyl functional organopolysiloxane and at least one cosmetic ingredient, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
  - II. Claims 1-10 and 13-14 (in part), drawn to a composition comprising at least one hydrocarbyl functional organopolysiloxane and at least one household care ingredient, classified in class 510, subclass 108+.
  - III. Claims 1-10 and 13-14 (in part), drawn to a composition comprising at least one hydrocarbyl functional organopolysiloxane and at least one health care ingredient, classified in class 424, subclass 49.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II or III, and inventions II and III, are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the composition of Group I does not require household care or health care ingredients for patentability. The subcombinations have separate utility such as household care compositions (e.g. surface cleaners) or health care composition (e.g. mouthwash).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, creating an undue search burden on the Examiner and the Office, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, creating an undue search burden on the Examiner and the Office, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1609

8. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the examiner, before the patent issues, withdraws the restriction requirement. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Election

- 9. Election of species should be required before a search on the merits in all applications containing both species claims and generic or Markush claims. (MPEP 808.01(a)).
- 10. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising: a hydrocarbyl functional organopolysiloxane of Claims 1 and 3, which require a burdensome classification, and/or bibliographic, manual and computer search.
- 11. Accordingly, regardless of which group is elected, the Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of substituted hydrocarbyl functional

Art Unit: 1609

organopolysiloxane, i.e. a <u>single compound</u>. Applicant should include a chemical structure of the elected compound if not already contained in the specification.

- 12. To be complete, a response to the election of species requirement should include a proper election along with a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. MPEP 809.02(a).
- 13. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1609

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shelley R. Graham whose telephone number is 571-270-1563.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 9am-3pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**SRG** 

Usella J. Teang Seculiary Putont Examinat

Simplegy Conter 1629